BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Against:	•
IGHIA AINTABLIAN, M.D.) Certificate No. A-42047)	17-93-27089
Respondent.)	
DECISION	

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Decision is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on <u>February 13, 1998</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED <u>January 14, 1998</u>.

By:

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

Chairperson, Panel A

Division of Medical Quality

2 GLORIA A. BARRIOS, Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 3 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 4 Los Angeles, California 90013 (213) 897-2534 5 Attorneys for Complainant 6 7 8 9 BEFORE THE 10 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 In the Matter of the No. 17-93-27089 Accusation against: 14 OAH Case No. L-9610252 IGHIA AINTABLIAN, M.D. 15 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER Physician and Surgeon's Certificate A-42047 16 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the 18 parties to the above-entitled proceeding that the following 19 matters are true: 20 On or about August 12, 1985, Physician and Surgeon's 21 1. Certificate No. A-42047 was issued by the Medical Board of 22 California to Ighia Aintablian, M.D. (hereinafter, "respondent"), 23 and at all times relevant hereto, this license has been in full 24 25 force and effect. 26 An Accusation in case number 17-93-27089 was filed with 2. the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California of the California Department of Consumer Affairs (the

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General

of the State of California

1

28

k:\wp51\docs\famd\stip.dr9

- 3. The Accusation, together with all statutorily-required documents, was duly served on the respondent on or about October 10, 1996, and respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation on or about November, 1996. A copy of Accusation number 17-93-27089 is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth.
- 4. The Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California and brought this action solel in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Daniel E. Lungren, by and through Deputy Attorney General Gloria A. Barrios.
- 5. The Respondent is represented in this matter by Eric Olson of Baker, Olson, LeCroy & Danielian and by Alexander W. Kirkpatrick of the Law Offices of Alexander W. Kirkpatrick.
- 6. The Respondent has fully reviewed with counsel the charges contained in Accusation number 17-93-27089, and the respondent is fully aware of his legal rights and the effects of this stipulation.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline against his Physician's and Surgeon's certificate. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained within the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the

production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent knowingly, voluntaril and irrevocably waives and gives up each of these rights.

- For the purpose of resolving the accusation in Case no 17-93-27089, without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant would put forth evidence to establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation that the care and treatment rendered by respondent on November 29, 1990 constituted three simple departures from the standard of care as to patient C.Y. as identified in the Accusation, without patient harm. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges and agrees that he has thereby subjected his Certificate to disciplinary action for repeated negligent acts in the case of patient C.Y. pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234(c). foregoing stipulation is for the purpose of this proceeding only and for any other proceeding between the parties and any other action taken by and before any governmental body responsible for licensing.
- 9. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Board's Order as set forth below.
- 10. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceedings, issue and enter the following order:

28 1 //

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3 4

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Ighia Aintablian, M.D., holder of Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number A-42047, shall be placed on probation by the Division of Medical Quality on the following terms:

6 7

5

The initial term of such probation shall be for one (1 year from the effective date of this Stipulated Settlement.

8

9

10

11

Within one year of the effective date of this Stipulated Settlement, respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical examination in the area of general pediatrics following the procedures set forth for such examinations in Business and Professions Code section 2293, to be administered by the

Division, or its designee, at respondent's sole expense.

12 13

> If respondent receives a passing score on said oral clinical examination, probation shall be immediately terminated and the Accusation thereupon shall be dismissed.

15

16

17

14

If Respondent does not receive a passing score on said 4. oral clinical examination, respondent will take a second oral clinical examination within one year of the effective date of

18 19

this Stipulated Settlement.

20

If respondent receives a passing score on a second oral clinical examination, probation shall be immediately terminated

22

23

21

and the Accusation thereupon shall be dismissed.

24

If respondent does not receive a passing score on a second oral clinical examination, the term of probation herein

25 26

stipulated shall be extended for an additional two years, for a

27

28

total term of probation of three years, without further notice or right of hearing. In the event a three-year probationary term is

6.

imposed, within thirty days thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designees. If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

- 7. Respondent shall not practice in the field of neonatology for one year from the effective date of this decision, nor at any other time when respondent is on probation. Practice in the field of neonatology shall signify rendering non-emergency medical treatment to congenitally ill infants of less than three months of age, and shall not include emergency care that respondent may from time to time be called upon to render or an unplanned basis, and shall not include well baby care. Respondent shall not apply for any hospital privileges in neonatology within one year of the effective date of this stipulated Settlement, nor during any time that respondent is on probation.
- 8. During such time as respondent is on probation, as herein defined, respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the terms of probation.
- 9. In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should

14.

respondent cease practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten days of the date of departure or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaged in the practice of medicine in California. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California as defined herein shall not apply to the reduction of any probationary period.

10. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local law and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.

Further, Respondent is ordered to comply with the following terms and conditions:

- 1. CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION: Annually for the three (3) years following the effective date of this stipulation, respondent shall take an additional twenty (20) hours of Continuing Medical Education courses specific to the field of pediatrics, in addition to meeting his regular continuing education requirements under Business and Professions Code section 2190 and regulations adopted thereunder.
- 2. <u>COST RECOVERY</u>: Respondent shall reimburse the Division the amount of \$2,000.00 (Two Thousand Dollars) for its investigation and prosecution costs, within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision. Failure to reimburse the Division's costs of investigation and prosecution shall constitute non-compliance with this agreement.
- 11. COSTS OF PROBATION: During such time as respondent's physician and surgeon's certificate is subject to probation

pursuant to the terms of this Stipulated Settlement, respondent shall reimburse the Medical Board of Probation for costs of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304.00 per year, but make adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs of probation within thirty days of the date due shall constitute a violation of probation.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that the Division staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and agreement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Order. have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my counsel of record in this matter. understand the effect of this Stipulated Settlement and Order will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly intelligently, and voluntarily.

DATED: August 22, 1997

Respondent

We have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Order and approve of it as to form and content. We have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with respondent Ighia Aintablian, M.D.

DATED: August 22, 1997

Attorney for Respondent,

IGHIA AINTABLIAN, M.D.

ALEXANDER W.

KIRKPATRICK Attorney for Respondent, IGHIA AINTABLIAN, M.D.

ERIC OLSON

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Division.

DATED: August ____, 1997

LORIA A. BARRIOS

Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Complainant

EXHIBIT A

	- II
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	GLORIA A. BARRIOS,
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1204 Telephone: (213) 897-2534
5	
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 17-93-27089 Against:
12	ighia aintablian, m.d.,) ACCUSATION
13	1510 South Central Avenue) Suite 450
14	Glendale, California 91204
15	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate) No. A42047,)
16	Respondent)
17	
18	The Complainant alleges:
19	<u>PARTIES</u>
20	1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Directo
21	of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and
22	brings this accusation solely in his official capacity.
23	2. On or about August 12, 1985, Physician and Surgeor
24	Certificate No. A42047 was issued by the Board to Ighia
25	Aintablian, M.D., (hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times
26	//
27	//

relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been i 1 full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on Janua: 2 31, 1997. 3 4 <u>JURISDICTION</u> 5 З. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California Department of 6 Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the 7 authority of the following sections of the California Business 8 and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"): 9 10 Section 2227 provides that the Board may revoke, Α. 11 suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found 12 13 guilty under the Medical Practice Act. 14 Section 2234 provides that unprofessional conduct 15 includes, but is not limited to, the following: 16 "(c) Repeated negligent acts." "(d) Incompetence." 17 18 "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the 19 20 qualifications, functions or duties of a physician or 21 surgeon." Section 2262 provides that altering or modifying 22 23 the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or 24 creating any false medical record, with fraudulent intent 25 constitutes unprofessional conduct.

26

6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

D. Section 125.3 provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violation of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement the case.

Section 16.01 of the 1996/97 Budget Act of the Ε. State of California provides, in pertinent part, that: (a) no funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or revocation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California; and (b) no funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical service or other invasive procedure performed on any Medical-Cal beneficiary by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation du to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any case where the boar makes a determination during its disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(REPEATED NEGLIGENT ACTS)

Respondent Ighia Aintablian, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c) of the Code in that respondent was repeatedly negligent in his treatme of patients. The circumstances are as follows:

Patient R.G.1

- On or about January 23, 1992, at 2037 hours, patient R.G. was delivered by respondent by Cesarean Patient R.G. was limp at birth and meconium stained with a heart rate of 20/ minute. Patient's Apgar scores were 6 at one minute and 7 at 5 minutes.
- On or about January 24, 1992, approximately 24 2. hours after her birth, patient R.G. began having seizures having with leg jerking motions. Respondent was notified. Respondent ordered electrolytes. Respondent ordered an EEG
- On or about January 25, 1992, respondent ordered 3. phenobarbital therapy for seizures. Respondent transferred patient R.G. to a neonatal intensive care unit.
- Respondent altered one or more chart entries without indicating they were late entries.
- Respondent deviated from the standard of care 5. in the care of patient R.G. including but not limited to the following;

All references to patient(s) shall be by initial only The true name(s) of the patient(s) shall be disclosed to the respondent during discovery.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

a. Failed to intubate and suction meconium fro
the airway of limp, meconium stained patient R.G
b. Failed to perform spinal tap and a sepsis
evaluation and begin antibiotics in response to
seizures;

- Failed to transfer patient R.G. promptly c. to a neonatal intensive care unit; and
- d. Changed medical records.

В. Patient A.L.

- 1. On or about December 12, 1991, patient A.L., 13 days old, was admitted to the emergency room at Glendale Memorial Hospital following an apneic (choking) episode
- Patient A.L. continued to experience apnea spells and became cyanotic several times while in the emergency room. As patient A.L. was respondent's patient, he was called to the hospital. Respondent failed to come.

C. Patient S.S.

- On or about January 24, 1992, patient S.S., a 2 1/2 month old infant went to respondent's medical office suffering respiratory arrest. Respondent failed to stabilize patient S.S.
- Instead, respondent unsuccessfully attempted to have patient S.S. transported to Children's Hospital of Los Respondent then called 911 knowing that patient Angeles. S.S. would be transported to the nearest emergency room

27

2

23

24

25

rather than the necessary neonatal intensive care unit. Despite being called to the hospital emergency room, respondent failed to go.

D. Patient B.C.

- 1. On or about August 4, 1990, at 2105 hours, respondent delivered patient B.C. after 27 hours of ruptur membranes. Apgars were 8 and 9. Respiratory distress was noted at birth requiring oxygen.
- 2. On or about August 5, 1990, at 0730, respiratory rate was 100 and the oxygen saturation was 88 %. High respiratory rate and decreased oxygenation persisted. Che x-rays were obtained at 1300 hours, and were consistent with respiratory distress syndrome of prematurity or transient tachypnea of the newborn. Oxygen by hood was started at 1850 hours.
- 3. At 2115 hours, respondent's home was called since his exchange had not responded. Nurses' notes indicate the respondent did not want this infant placed NPO and thathe not want an IV, septic work-up or antibiotics. Respondent ordered gavage feeds and CBC.
- 4. On or about August 6, 1990, at 0900 hours, repeat x-rays worsening of patient B.C. consistent with respirator distress syndrome. Patient B.C. was transported to St. Joseph's Hospital.
- 5. Respondent deviated from the standard of care in the care of patient B.C. including but not limited to the following;

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
_	

- a. Failed to recognize that sepsis can bepresent with respiratory distress syndrome;b. Failed to start an intravenous line, place
- the patient NPO, obtain cultures and start antibiotics; and
- c. Failed to transfer patient R.G. promptly to a neonatal intensive care unit;

E. Patient J.R.

- 1. On or about November 11, 1990, at 1453 hours, respondent delivered patient J.R. At birth there was a tight nuchal cord and 2+ meconium. The delivery note by respondent showed suction and bagging. Apgars were 6 and 9
- 2. One half hour later, patient J.R. developed tachypnea, grunting and retractions. A chest x-ray was obtained and was consistent with transient tachypnea of the newborn. By 2345 hours, patient J.R. had worsening symptoms and tachypnea not resolving.
- 3. On or about November 21, 1990, respondent made transfer arrangements of patient J.R. to Glendale Adventist Medical Center. Respondent left the hospital before the transport team arrived.
- 4. Respondent deviated from the standard of care in the care of patient J.R. including but not limited to the following;
 - a. Failed to perform an evaluation for sepsis and administer antibiotics.

F. Patient C.Y.

- 1. On or about November 29, 1990, at 1345 hours, respondent delivered patient C.Y. by Cesarean section.

 Patient C.Y. had Apgars of 8 and 9. At 0400 hours, patient C.Y had a rectal temperature of 100.2. At 0500 hours, patient C.Y. had developed tachypnea.
- 2. Respondent ordered blood cultures, CBC, and spinal tap were obtained, but CSF were bloody so analysis could not be obtained. Respondent ordered a chest x-ray although result not on the patient's chart. Respondent treated patient C.Y. with antibiotics for 48 hours.
- 3. Respondent deviated from the standard of care in the care of patient C.Y. including but not limited to the following;
 - a. Failed to obtain a urine culture;
 - Failed to treat patient with antibiotics for a minimum of 72 hours; and
 - c. . Failed to document a chest x-ray result.
 - G. Patient E.D.
- 1. On or about December 6, 1991, at 1906 hours, respondent delivered patient E.D. by Cesarean section. Patient E.D. had Apgars of 8 and 9. At 0400 hours, patient E.D. had a rectal temperature of 100.7 accompanied by tachypnea.
- 2. Respondent ordered a blood culture, CBC, and urine culture. Respondent started patient E.D. on antibiotics 14 hours later. Antibiotics were continued for 35 hours.

5	b. Failed to perform a spinal tap;					
6	c. Failed to obtain a proper urine specimen;					
7	d. Use of Bactim is contraindicated for infant					
8	less than 2 months old;					
9	e. Failed to properly treat a urinary					
10	infection if present;					
11	f. Discharged patient too early;					
12	g. Failed to perform complete septic workup; a					
13	h. Failed to obtain chest x-ray.					
14	H. Patient M.F.					
15.	1. On or about August 8, 1991, at 2331 hours,					
16	respondent delivered patient M.F. Patient M.F. had Apgars					
17	of 8 and 9. Patient M.F. weighed 4 pounds, 11 ounces.					
18	Patient M.F. was discharged at 16 hours of age. Patient					
19	M.F. and mother to return in 2 weeks.					
20	2. Respondent deviated from the standard of care in					
21	the care of patient M.F. including but not limited to the					
22	following;					
23	a. Failure to monitor small for gestational age					
24	baby longer than 16 hours; and					
25	b. Failure to document follow up care.					
6	//					
7	//					
i						

2

3

4

following;

Respondent deviated from the standard of care in

Delayed starting antibiotics for 14 hours;

the care of patient E.D. including but not limited to the

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(INCOMPETENCE)
3	5. Respondent Ighia Aintablian, M.D., is subject to
4	disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d) of the
5	Code in that respondent was incompetent in his treatment of
б	patients. The circumstances are as follows:
7	A. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference
8	paragraph 4, A through H.
9	
10	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
11	(DISHONESTY)
12	5. Respondent Ighia Aintablian, M.D., is subject to
13.	disciplinary action under section 2262, in conjunction with
14	section 2234, subdivision (e) of the Code section 2234, in that
15	respondent dishonestly altered medical record[s]. The
16	circumstances are as follows:
17	A. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference
18	paragraph 4, A.4.
19	
20	<u>PRAYER</u>
21	
22	WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing h
23	held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the
24	hearing, the Division issue a decision:
25	1. Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon
26	Certificate Number A42047, heretofore issued to respondent Ighia
27	Aintablian, M.D.;
- 11	\cdot

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

	2.	Revoking,	suspending	or	denying	approval	of	th
responden	t's a	uthority to	supervise	phy	ysician's	s assistar	ıts,	ı
pursuant	to Bus	siness and	Professions	s Co	ode secti	on 3527;		

- 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actue and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of thicase;
- 4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper.

DATED: <u>October 10</u>, 1996

TOUS LAW DOS

Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

Complainant